



Re: Local Review Application - 19/00052/PPP
Paul Edney to: Local Review Body
Cc: eilidh

28/07/2019 21:15

History: This message has been forwarded.

Hi Lee.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on responses from interested parties.

I would do so as follows:-

ROADS & TRANSPORTATION

The development of this site presents an ideal opportunity to address any localised flooding. Installation of a suitably designed field drain along the north boundary of the site will intercept surface water allowing it to be conveyed to the public drainage system. A suitably worded planning condition could require provision of an engineers report. Moreover, the proposed road upgrading will require formal consent from Roads & Transportation and this will include arrangements for surface water drainage.

LETTER FROM MAY

No other site in the vicinity is set between two existing houses AND is surrounded on all sides by existing residential use. Concerns of precedent are not relevant.

LETTER FROM ADDLINGTON

No further comment.

LETTER FROM HOGG

The proposals involve the removal of only two mature trees, both of which are multi-stemmed. The proposals also involve significant replacement planting. The submitted letter from ClacksCouncil dated 5/7/2004 relates to a much larger area and not directly to the appeal site.

LETTER FROM GREIG

No further comment.

LETTER FROM FISHER

The further points made are varied and cover many conceived issues. They all stem entirely however from a base standpoint of “not on my doorstep”. All issues raised apply equally to their house as they would to the appeal site. Both are immediately adjacent to each other and located within the same paddock.

Finally, and perhaps most importantly, subsequent to submitting this appeal the appellant has become aware that Clackmannanshire Council’s Planning Service have completed their Major Issues Report in relation to the ongoing review of the current LDP. It is understood this includes proposed changes to policy relating to development in the countryside and will certainly see removal of the generic and outdated requirement to demonstrate locational justification. Consequently, it seems quite ridiculous to refuse a planning application on grounds which will very soon be superseded. It is established planning practise to give consideration to emerging policy. This last paragraph is of the highest relevance to this planning appeal.

Can I ask you to please acknowledge receipt of this email and to confirm that it can be considered as a formal submission in relation to this planning appeal.

Thank you.

Paul Edney,

Managing Director,
Hillfoot Homes Group.

Sent from my iPhone