Hi,
Thanks for the reminder.
With regards to Mrs. Daniels. This is mainly as a repeat of the first objection and as previously commented on. The reference to road use is not applicable as there is sufficient access off Glassford Square which is an adopted road.
As for the remainder of the comments perhaps she in unaware of the Scottish right to roam and that all of the neighbours use this same area to cross over to the Ochil hills with there dogs and children.
The wall she refers to was blown down during the January high winds.
With Regards to Mrs. Lynch. Again the inaccurate comments are similar to the original objection. However, i take exception to the comments listed as .
2. As per Mr Stoddart's summary in his formal report, the work being carried out is in accordance with the change of use permission granted in 2016. The additional work completed is the re-build of the dry stone dyke running South to North on the eastern boundary.
3. I take great exception to the word "manipulation". Again as per Mr Stoodarts formal report, the work done during 2016-2017 was all in accordance with the approved change of use in 2016. As the same neighbour would know that due to an unfortunate serious escape of water in our house Feb 2018 resulted in us being out of our house for nearly 10 months, no work on the land was carried out during this particular year.
As per my previous comments, the only "manipulation" carried out was the same neighbour,s attempt to stage manage a parking problem in Glassford Square at the hammerhead by parking family cars in strategic places. How sad and desperate.
Regards and thanks again for the reminder.
John Neilson

