



Summary of comments made on Consultative Draft Core Paths Plan

Total number of comments received: 106

Number who approved the plan as it stands: 24 (23%)

Number who approved the plan with listed exceptions: 50 (47%)

Number who did not approve the plan as it stands: 17 (16%)

Number who did not comment on whether they approved the plan or not: 15 (14%)

Path number/location	Name(s)	Ref no.	Comment Note: Key to codes to be found below table	Council response	Council decision
General comments	Paths For All	103	A larger scale over view map would enable links to be understood more easily	Agree that a single map is better at getting an overview of the Plan and a feel for	

			<p>and for sufficiency to be assessed. It would be useful to show core paths coloured purple and to consider showing core paths that are on road. Specific information on path nature and condition would be helpful in allowing users to assess path suitability for them – for example for horse or wheelchair use. The principal communities have reasonable coverage but some areas have sparse coverage. Consideration should be given to links to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Alloa Railway Station - New Sauchie Hospital <p>Users prefer circular routes, but account should be taken of functional access which is there and back. Few aspirational routes are indicated. It would be beneficial for the plan to clearly show where cross (local authority) boundary paths are connected. There is an opportunity to link with the Local Transport Plan and the Regional Transport Partnership Strategy which will be important for potential future funding from transport related budgets.</p>	<p>whether sufficiency has been addressed. A single map covering the whole of Clackmannanshire was available for view during the second round of consultations. Core paths were coloured purple for the consultation and will be on future maps. Core paths were shown on roads (where quiet or with footways) if it was considered they created useful links with other off-road paths. Once the Core Paths Plan is adopted, information will be provided on the Council website detailing their nature and condition, to assist users in assessing their suitability for a particular use. Agree that the Plan should provide links to places like stations and hospitals. Path 69 will link with the new station and hospital and path 3 will link with the new station once it is extended northwards – see below. Point noted with regard to functional access – see path 149. 3 aspirational paths have been shown – paths 28, 31 and 51. Agree that it would be useful to indicate where cross boundary paths are connected. Roads Officers were invited to comment on the Plan.</p>	
	B Poett	99	<p>Impression given by the plans is that some areas have asked to have a large</p>	<p>The steps taken in the Core Paths Planning process have been discussed by the</p>	

		<p>number of potential paths included and some areas where practically none are included. Consider that this should be discussed by the Access Forum.</p> <p>Many paths are parallel to others, with some being very close. An elected Councillor has expressed the opinion that Core Paths should be the principal paths whilst others, to which access is guaranteed by the Land Reform Act, should not. This would ensure that only the best paths are identified on Ordnance Survey maps and that there is a common standard.</p> <p>The majority of potential paths go through working farms or use working farm roads. Recent animal health restrictions illustrate that the livelihood of farmers is precarious.</p> <p>Concerned that any burden which may compromise biosecurity or pose a health and safety risk to walkers or land managers should be avoided, and feel that elevating paths to core paths is inappropriate since there are plenty of other paths available and which the Land Reform Act guarantees access to.</p> <p>Also believe that there should be consultation with land managers whose land is affected by core paths to minimise</p>	<p>Access Forum.</p> <p>Some paths are parallel and close to others, but these are near to communities where there is demand for more than a single route and in some cases separated by barriers such as busy roads and water bodies.</p> <p>The Land Reform (Scotland) Act 2003 requires that every local authority in Scotland draws up a plan for a system of paths (core paths) sufficient for the purposes of giving the public reasonable public access throughout their area.</p> <p>Guidance from the Scottish Executive, Scottish Natural Heritage and Paths For All Partnership states that sufficiency around settlements should be a priority. Paths identified as Core Paths are those which met criteria agreed with the Access Forum.</p> <p>It is the case that many core paths go through farmland much of which is worked and that a good number follow farm roads. Biosecurity and health and safety issues are concerns which are addressed by the Scottish Outdoor Access Code.</p> <p>The Paths for All Partnership and Scottish Natural Heritage document Core Paths Plans – A guide to good practice identifies 2 rounds of informal consultations and a final formal consultation. Both informal</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

			conflicts. It may be that paths that fail the criteria set by the Council, may be agreed with land managers as necessary after deviations have been made in potential paths.	consultations have been completed and were widely advertised in an attempt to engage both users and land managers.	
	Earl of Mar & Kellie	10	Plan a bit weak on the eastern march. Believe the Core Paths Plan should include unsurfaced paths.	There are relatively few proposed core paths identified in eastern Clackmannanshire, but this area has relatively few settlements. Many proposed core paths are unsurfaced.	
1			None.		Proposed core path.
2			None.	Rerouted within park.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
3	R Gibb	37	Add. Extend to dual carriage way at north end. Meets E1, E2, E3, D2, D3, D4, D5, D6 and D9.	Agree the path should extend to the A907.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
4			None.		Proposed core path.
5			None.		Proposed core path.
6			None.		Proposed

					core path.
7			None.		Proposed core path.
8			None.		Proposed core path.
9			None.		Proposed core path.
10			None.		Proposed core path.
11			None.		Proposed core path.
12	A Lawson	97	At Gubber Hill path follows northern edge of wood to meet path 48.	Agree.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
	AR Shearer	21	Remove. Private road. Use Woodlea entrance.	Entrance off Branshill Road discussed and agreed with AR Shearer.	
	I Campbell	20	Remove. Private road. Entrance to the woods by Woodlea.	Entrance off Branshill Road discussed and agreed with I Campbell.	
13			None.		Proposed core path.
14	B Maddox	90	Path has been used by horses for 30 years but a height barrier now prevents access.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	Proposed core path.
	D Murphy	75	Needs upgraded to allow pupils to walk/cycle to school, with associated health benefits.	Agree that upgrading would allow pupils to walk/cycle to school.	
	PA Kinghorn	17	Add. Would like barrier removed to allow horse access.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	

15			None.		Proposed core path.
16			None.		Proposed core path.
17			None.		Proposed core path.
18			None.		Proposed core path.
19			None.		Proposed core path.
20			None.		Proposed core path.
21			None.		Proposed core path.
22			None.		Proposed core path.
23			None.		Proposed core path.
24			None.		Proposed core path.
25			None.	Northern path to be core path.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
26			None.		Proposed core path.
27			None.		Proposed

					core path.
28	D Balsillie	85	Add.	Comment noted.	Proposed core path.
	J McKay	31	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D3, D4, D7 and D9.	Comment noted.	
	G McKenzie	4	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D3, D4, D7, D8 and D9.	Comment noted.	
	L McKay	3	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D3, D4, D7, D8 and D9.	Comment noted.	
	D McKenzie	2	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D3, D4, D7, D8 and D9.	Comment noted.	
29			None.		Proposed core path.
30			None.	Cartographic error in respect of western path spur. Should stop at junction with Delph Road. At east side path goes around new pond.	Proposed core path – amendments to be made.
31	D Balsillie	85	Add.	Comment noted.	Aspirational core path - for future consideration.
	J McKay	31	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D3, D4, D7 and D9.	Comment noted.	
	G McKenzie	4	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D3, D4, D7, D8 and D9.	Comment noted.	
	L McKay	3	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D3, D4, D7, D8 and	Comment noted.	

			D9.		
	D McKenzie	2	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D3, D4, D7, D8 and D9.	Comment noted.	
32			None.		Proposed core path.
33	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	Proposed core path.
34			None.	Cartographic error – southern part of path is further west than shown on earlier plan.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
35	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	Proposed core path.
36	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	Proposed core path.
37	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	Proposed core path.
38	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	Proposed core path.
39			None.		Proposed core path.
40	G Reekie	92	Add. Path requires horse friendly gates.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	Proposed core path.
	I Reekie	91	Add. Path needs horse friendly gates.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	

41	G Reekie	92	Add. Path requires horse friendly gates.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	Proposed core path.
	I Reekie	91	Add. Path needs horse friendly gates.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
42	G Reekie	92	Add. Path requires horse friendly gates.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	Proposed core path.
	I Reekie	91	Add. Path needs horse friendly gates.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
	J Lyle	22	Remove. Path needs renewed and maintained. Does not meet E1, E2, D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D7, D8 and D9. Assured that the Council have no plans to upgrade or maintain the path to an acceptable standard.	Meets all essential criteria – it is easily easily accessible from where people live (E1) and does join 2 public places (E2) as it links Alva at the east end with an established path (40) and via this to the A91 road at the west end, and also with an established path (41) to the south. Meets all desirable criteria except D7. Note: 5 or more desirable criteria are required to be met. The Council has powers, but not a duty, to maintain core paths.	
43	None.				Proposed core path.
44	M Woods	93	No access onto path at Muirpark nor behind prison.	Path is accessible from B9140 at Muirpark and to the east of Glenochil. Path to be split into 2 to provide links to paths 174 and 39. Section to north west of prison to be removed.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
	D Campbell	63	Add. Meets E2.	Comment noted. Path to be split into 2 to provide links to paths 174 and 39. Section	

				to north west of prison to be removed.	
45	A Lawson	97	Extend west to Menstrie Road.	Agree.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
46			None.		Proposed core path.
47			None.		Proposed core path.
48	D Murphy	75	Needs upgraded to allow pupils to walk/cycle to school, with associated health benefits.	Agree that upgrading would allow pupils to walk/cycle to school.	Proposed core path.
49	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	Proposed core path.
50			None.		Proposed core path.
51	C Turnbull	95	Add path at side of road (for safety reasons) for horse riders and dog walkers.	Comment noted.	Aspirational core path - for future consideration.
	G Reekie	92	Add path for safety of horse riders.	Comment noted.	
	I Reekie	91	Add path for horse rider safety.	Comment noted.	
	LM Howson	88	Add. Would like to see path for safety of cyclists, horse riders and joggers.	Comment noted.	
	D Balsillie	85	Add. Supports roadside footway.	Comment noted.	
	C	26	No path at present.	Comment noted.	

	Jamieson				
52			None.		Proposed core path.
53	A Lawson	97	Create link to Sauchie Tower and minor road.	Agree. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D2, D3, D5, D7, D9 and D10.	Proposed core path.
54			None.		Proposed core path.
55	R Fenton	101	Pleased to see this path in the Plan. Meets essential criteria and D1, D4, D5, D6, D7 and D9. Would benefit from signage and safety works	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	Proposed core path.
	G Reekie	92	Add. Path requires horse gates.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
	I Reekie	91	Add. Gates needed for horse riders.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	
56	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	Proposed core path.
57	G Reekie	92	Path requires safe route across A91 at west end.	Path is to north of A91 only. Joins with path 51 (to the south of A91) at the west end, but this is aspirational and not currently on the ground. Revisit safe crossing when/if path 51 is created.	Proposed core path.
	I Reekie	91	Path requires safe route across A91 at west end.	Path is to north of A91 only. Joins with path 51 (to the south of A91) at the west end, but this is aspirational and not currently on the ground. Revisit safe crossing when/if path 51 is created.	

	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	
58	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	Proposed core path.
59	G Reekie	92	Add. Path requires gates for horse riders.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date. Path crosses 4 fairways and is to be re-routed down west side of golf course.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
	I Reekie	91	Add. Path requires gates for horses.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date. Path crosses 4 fairways and is to be re-routed down west side of golf course.	
	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted. Path crosses 4 fairways and is to be re-routed down west side of golf course.	
60	G Reekie	92	Add. Path requires gates for horse riders.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	Proposed core path.
	I Reekie	91	Add. Path requires gates for horses.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
61			None.		Proposed core path.
62			None.		Proposed core path.
63			None.		Proposed core path.
64			None.		Proposed core path.
65			None.		Proposed core path.
66			None.		Proposed core path.
67			None.		Proposed

					core path.
68			None.		Proposed core path.
69			None.		Proposed core path.
70			None.		Proposed core path.
71	C Turnbull	95	Add. Path currently closed.	Riverbank on which path sits has been eroded but is due to be repaired.	Proposed core path.
72			None.		Proposed core path.
73			None.		Proposed core path.
74			None.		Proposed core path.
75			None.		Proposed core path.
76			None.		Proposed core path.
77			None.		Proposed core path.
78			None.	Paths at north end amended to replicate where paths are on ground.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
79			None.		Proposed core path.

80	A Lawson	97	Add 50m link at north west edge of houses to link with path 52.	Agree. Meets all relevant essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria.	Proposed core path.
81			None.		Proposed core path.
82	B Maddox	90	Burn crossing is very boggy.	Noted. Will be looked at, at later date.	Proposed core path.
	PA Kinghorn	17	Sleeper bridge over burn needs widening to allow horse access.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
83			None.		Proposed core path.
84	J Preston	96	Wet with spring at one location.	Noted. Will be looked at, at later date.	Proposed core path.
85	J Preston	96	Overgrown.	Noted. Will be looked at, at later date. Cartographic error regarding line.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made
86			None.		Proposed core path.
87			None.		Proposed core path.
88			None.		Proposed core path.
89			None.		Proposed core path.
90	B Maddox	90	Horse riders encounter problems at Jellyholm Farm.	Meets all relevant essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria.	Proposed core path.
91			None.		Proposed core path.

92			None.		Proposed core path.
93	B Maddox	90	Can this be made suitable for horses?	Meets all relevant essential criteria and 5 desirable criteria. Bridges limit multi-use/horse access.	Proposed core path.
94			None.		Proposed core path.
95	B Maddox	90	Can this be made suitable for horses?	Meets all relevant essential criteria and 5 desirable criteria. Bridges limit multi-use/horse access.	Proposed core path.
96			None.		Proposed core path.
97			None.		Proposed core path.
98			None.	Cartographic error at east end.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
99			None.	Minor cartographic errors.	Proposed core path - amendments to be made.
100			None.		Proposed core path.
101			None.		Proposed

					core path.
102	JC Turnbull	47	Divert onto access track next to walled garden.	Noted. Cartographic error which will be amended.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
	D Michie	46	Path follows parallel path through wood.	Noted. Cartographic error which will be amended.	
	F McKay	28	Path goes past walled garden.	Noted. Cartographic error which will be amended.	
103			None.		Proposed core path.
104	JC Turnbull	47	Divert into wood.	Path in wood to become proposed core path.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
	D Michie	46	Does not join with 102, but swings round to road.	Path in wood to become proposed core path and to link 105 with 102.	
105			None.		Proposed core path.
106	JC Turnbull	47	Avoid forest extraction track.	Route diverted at south end to accommodate forestry works.	Proposed core path – amendment to be made.
107			None.		Proposed core path.
108			None.		Proposed core path.
109			None.		Proposed core path.

110	AG Munnoch	94	Bridge is narrow. Steps in places.	Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D3, D4, D7 and D9. Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	Proposed core path.
	J Coull	83	Stepped, narrow bridge.	Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D3, D4, D7 and D9. Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
111			None.		Proposed core path.
112			None.		Proposed core path.
113	AG Munnoch	94	Locked gate on path at B1940.	Presume referring to B910. Will be looked at, at later date.	Proposed core path.
	J Coull	83	Locked gate at B9140.	Presume referring to B910. Will be looked at, at later date.	
114	I Whyte	53	Extend to Forest Mill.	Roads Department have advised that the connection along the road to Forest Mill is unsuitable. Path to be as previously mapped.	Proposed core path.
115	B Poett	99	Follows internal farm road and access to 3 houses. It runs parallel to a right of way which is a maximum of 200m away. E1 – is not accessible at the west end and does not link communities. E2 - Does not meet E2. E3 - does meet. D1 – is not a right of way and to the best of my knowledge was not identified in the first round of consultations. D2 – is not suitable for wheel chairs or baby buggies. D3 – is a spine road on a working farm	Parallel right of way is nearby, but is separated by the Lade - a watercourse. The path does meet criterion E1 because it is easily accessible to where people live, especially those in Forest Mill. Even at its west end, it is only ca1500m from Forest Mill via proposed paths 117 and 116, a distance which can easily be covered on foot or by bike. The path does meet E2 by virtue of the fact it joins a right of way at its east end and an established path at its west end. Note too, that the established path links to a right of	Proposed core path.

			<p>and used for movement of stock and other farming activities along its length. Deer control with rifles takes place along the length of this potential path.</p> <p>D4 – Affects 3 private properties.</p> <p>D5 – privately maintained and will have severe maintenance issues if adopted by the Council.</p> <p>D6 – 3 householders are likely to use 50m of its length and 1 use 800m for access to school and work.</p> <p>Does not meet D7 and D8.</p> <p>D9 – does link with 2 other potential paths.</p> <p>D10 – Is adequate for walkers and cyclists but not suitable for all users.</p>	<p>way to the south and a minor road to the north.</p> <p>Criterion D1 is met since the path was identified in the first round of consultations.</p> <p>D2 – feel path is suitable for some disabled scooters and that is capable of supporting all abilities.</p> <p>Accept that there may be issues concerning D3 and D4.</p> <p>D5 – is free from significant maintenance issues. The Council has powers, but not a duty, to maintain core paths.</p> <p>D7 – could be used to access Gartmorn Dam Country Park.</p> <p>D9 – does link with other paths.</p> <p>Is considered to be capable of supporting multi-use (D10) as it is a farm track.</p> <p>Path meets all relevant essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria, and so fulfils the criteria to become a core path.</p>	
	AG Munnoch	94	Barrier across path.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
	J Coull	83	Barrier restricts access.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
116	B Poett	99	<p>Path is rarely used. Is principally used by a very small number of people and then usually on conducted walks.</p> <p>E1 – not easily accessible form where people live.</p> <p>E2 – the southern point is not a public</p>	<p>E1 – does meet. Is ca1k east of Gartmorn Dam and ca1.5k west of Forest Mill – making it easily accessible for some pedestrians, cyclists etc.</p> <p>E2 – does join 2 public places as the north end is a minor road and the southern point</p>	Proposed core path.

			<p>place. E3 – few biodiversity conflicts. D1 – not a right of way but is an established farm track. D2 – not capable of supporting all abilities and not suitable for wheel chair access or non specialised baby buggies. D3 – a spine road on a working farm and used for movement of stock and other farming activities along its length. Deer control with rifles takes place along the length of the path. D4 – Goes past a kitchen window. D5 – Privately maintained to our own standards. It is approximately 1 mile long and will present a major maintenance liability to the Council. D6, D7 and D8 – does not meet. D9 – links to 2 other paths. D10 - Is adequate for walkers and cyclists but not suitable for all users.</p>	<p>a right of way – with the latter linking to a Country Park and Forest Mill. E3 - agree that the path is unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity. D1 – path was identified in the first round of consultations and so meets criterion. D2 - feel path is suitable for some disabled scooters and that is capable of supporting all abilities. D3 – considered to be unaffected by land management issues such as enclosed fields of livestock. Accept track may be used for moving stock and for deer stalking. D4 – agree that the path does have privacy issues. D5 - is free from significant maintenance issues. The Council has powers, but not a duty, to maintain core paths. D6, D7 and D8 – does not meet. D9 – does link with other paths. D10 – is capable of supporting multi-use as is a farm track. Path meets all relevant essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria, and so fulfils the criteria to become a core path.</p>	
	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	
117	B Poett	99	<p>Is a right of way which is tricky to negotiate in places. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D3, D4, D7 (gives</p>	<p>Comment noted that path meets all relevant essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria, and so fulfils the criteria to become a core</p>	Proposed core path.

			access to Gartmorn Dam Country Park), D8 and D9. Does not meet D2, D5 (maintenance is urgently needed), D6 and D10 (suitable only for walkers and cyclists).	path.	
	AG Munnoch	94	Path is narrow and exposed. Re-route path through conifers.	The only scope for re-routing the path through conifers is at the west end. This would take the path away from the right of way adjacent to the Lade. Original route to be proposed core path with widening to be considered.	
	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	
	J Coull	83	Path is narrow. Re-route through conifers.	The only scope for re-routing the path through conifers is at the west end. This would take the path away from the right of way adjacent to the Lade. Original route to be proposed core path with widening to be considered.	
118			None.		Proposed core path.
119	I Coull	102	Remove. Does not meet D2, D3, D4, D5, D6 and D8.	D2 – agree does not meet. D3 – is considered to be free from land management issues such as sports pitches and enclosed fields of livestock. D4 – is unaffected by curtilage/privacy issues. D5 – is free from significant maintenance issues. D6 – agree does not meet.	Proposed core path.

				D8 – agree does not meet. Path meets all relevant essential criteria and 7 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5, D7, D9 and D10) and so fulfils the criteria to become a core path.	
120	I Coull	102	Remove. Joins 2 points on privately owned land. Does not meet D2, D3, D5, D6, D7 and D8.	E2 – joins 2 public places because the west end is a proposed core path which links to a right of way and Gartmorn Dam Country Park, whilst the east end links with another proposed core path which is also a right of way, and which links with the Country Park and the B910 road. D2 – agree path may not be capable of supporting all abilities. D3 – is considered to be free from land management issues such as sports pitches and enclosed fields of livestock. D5 - is free from significant maintenance issues. D6 - agree does not meet. D7 – does give access to places of interest, notably Gartmorn Dam Country Park. D8 - agree does not meet. Path meets all relevant essential criteria and 7 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5, D7, D9 and D10) and so fulfils the criteria to become a core path.	Proposed core path.
121			None.		Proposed core path.

122	Paths For All	103	Access and egress points to water are key. It is neither practical nor necessary to designate the majority of Gartmorn Dam as a core path.	Core paths can include water access. Gartmorn Dam is the only significant area of fresh water in Clackmannanshire and is being sold by the current owners.	Proposed core path.
	Earl of Mar & Kellie	10	A new landing stage for boat users and better turning area for boat trailers would be helpful.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
123			None.		Proposed core path.
124			None.		Proposed core path.
125			None.		Proposed core path.
126			None.		Proposed core path.
127	M Turnbull	16	Add. Very muddy in bits.	Comment noted. Path follows eastern edge of wood.	Proposed core path.
128			None.		Proposed core path.
129	C Turnbull	95	Path includes kissing gate and stile.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	Proposed core path.
130	C Turnbull	95	Bridge over river limits access.	Eastern part of route over bridge to be removed.	Proposed core path - amendment to be made at east end.
	L Stalker	89	Locked gate on bridge. Bridge surface full of holes.	Eastern part of route over bridge to be removed.	
131	B Poett	99	Is overgrown and runs along a private	E1 – does meet criterion because path is	Proposed

		<p>forestry road, which, as the trees grow, will offer less views. Is not greatly used.</p> <p>E1 – fairly easy to reach from the west, but difficult to access from the east.</p> <p>E2 – does not meet.</p> <p>E3 – may have an adverse effect on biodiversity.</p> <p>D1 – Is not a right of way.</p> <p>D2 – is not capable of supporting all abilities. Is overgrown and has not been maintained for 20 years. Is a forestry road which when used again will become rutted and heavily used by machinery and so be a major health and safety issue.</p> <p>D3 – deer control with rifles takes place along the route and when trees are being thinned there will be severe hazards.</p> <p>D4 – no privacy/curtilage issues.</p> <p>D5 – serious maintenance issues.</p> <p>Passable only for those prepared to scramble.</p> <p>D6 – unlikely to be ever used for access to work or school.</p> <p>D7 – does not give access to places of interest.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – gives access to a potential path at each end.</p> <p>D10 – Adequate for walkers and cyclists but not suitable for all users.</p>	<p>easily accessible at west end. East end is ca2k east of Tillicoultry and ca1.5k west of Dollar, making it easily accessible for some pedestrians, cyclists etc.</p> <p>E2 – does join 2 public places as the west end is a right of way linking to Devonside and the B9140, whilst the east end connects to a proposed core path which links to the A91 and the B9140, and which is an established path (promoted in 2 walk publications) and managed under Option 15 (Improving Access) of the Land Management Contract Menu Scheme.</p> <p>E3 – no designated Sites of Special Scientific Interest are affected. Access takers should follow the Scottish Outdoor Access Code and care for the environment It is considered unlikely that it will adversely impact on biodiversity. The plan will be subject to a Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations and is promoted in a walking guide.</p> <p>D2 – agree path is not capable of supporting all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – path is unaffected by land management issues such as enclosed fields of stock. Accept that path is used for deer stalking and for forestry purposes.</p>	<p>core path.</p>
--	--	--	---	-------------------

			Runs parallel with the Devonway and because it is unsuitable for so many categories of user, should not be considered a core path. The Devonway meets the majority of the criteria.	<p>D4 – agree that the path has no curtilage/privacy issues.</p> <p>D5 – considered to have some maintenance issues at west end and with regard to encroachment of vegetation, but consider that these are surmountable.</p> <p>D6, D7 and D8– agree it does not meet these criteria.</p> <p>D9 - does link with paths at east and west ends. Also provides opportunities for circular walks from both Tillicoultry and Dollar.</p> <p>D10 – capable of supporting multi-use. Path meets all relevant essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4,D5, D9 and D10) and so fulfils the criteria to become a core path.</p>	
	C Turnbull	95	Would be a great core path.	Comment noted.	
	L Stalker	89	Remove. Overgrown and obstacles.	<p>Agree that the path is overgrown in places and has obstacles at the west end.</p> <p>Path meets all relevant essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4,D5, D9 and D10) and so fulfils the criteria to become a core path.</p>	
132	B Poett	99	<p>Rarely used. Principally used by a very small number of people and then usually on conducted walks.</p> <p>E1 – neither easily accessible nor linking communities.</p>	<p>E1 – is easily accessible. North end is 1.5k from Tillicoultry and 1k from Dollar.</p> <p>E2 – does join 2 public places as it goes from the A91 to a minor public road. The path is also well established, with the</p>	Proposed core path.

		<p>E2 – does not link 2 public places. E3 – conflicts with 2 potentially important areas of biodiversity. D1 – is not a right of way. D2 – is not capable of supporting all abilities. Is very steep in places and is an unmade up rough track surfaced with blaes and road metal. 4 cattle grids with adjacent gates. D3 – deer control with rifles takes place along the length of the path and when trees are being thinned there are severe health and safety hazards. D4 – has curtilage issues where the path goes through the Aberdona Farm Steading area. D5 – has maintenance issues if to be made into a core path. It is approximately 3k long and maintained to a low standard. There may be health and safety issues if the public are given the impression that it is a safe and proper path. D6 – is never likely to be used in travel to school. D7 – does not access places of interest. D8 – does not give access to facilities. D9 – whilst it does not start at a public place it does offer linkages. D10 – adequate for walkers and cyclists but not suitable for all users.</p>	<p>section between the A91 and the B9140 promoted in a publication and either side of the B9140 managed under Option 15 (Improving Access) of the Land Management Contract Menu Scheme. E3 – no designated Sites of Special Scientific Interest are affected and are not aware of 2 potentially important areas of biodiversity. Access takers should follow the Scottish Outdoor Access Code and care for the environment. Consider that use of the path is unlikely to adversely impact on biodiversity. The plan will be subject to a Strategic Environmental Assessment. D1 – the path was identified in the first round of consultations, is an established path and is promoted in a walking guide. D2 – agree the path is not capable of supporting all abilities. D3 – most of the path is considered to be unaffected by land management issues. Agree that path is used for deer stalking and for forestry purposes. D4 – privacy and curtilage issues exist at the south end. D5 – central part of route is managed under Option 15 (Improving Access) of the Land Management Contract Menu Scheme. The path is considered to be free from significant maintenance issues.</p>	
--	--	---	---	--

				<p>D6 – agree it is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – may be used to access Gartmorn Dam Country Park from Dollar and Tillicoultry.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – does offer links to other paths.</p> <p>D10 – is a farm track and so is capable of supporting multi-use.</p> <p>Path meets all relevant essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D5, D7, D9 and D10) and so fulfils the criteria to become a core path.</p>	
	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	
133	B Poett	99	<p>Use of the path is requested on average twice per year by Charities doing fund raising walks. It has been the subject of discussion at the Access Forum as some walkers have left gates open, even when stock are in the field, allowing them to stray onto the A91, causing accidents in the past.</p> <p>E1 – is 600m from the edge of Dollar at its eastern end. The western end is isolated from local communities by approximately 2k.</p> <p>E2 – does not join 2 public places.</p> <p>E3 – this potential path does have potential conflicts with wildlife and biodiversity if it becomes heavily used. It</p>	<p>E1 – path is easily accessible from where people live, especially at its east end.</p> <p>E2 – links with the Devonway and the A91 at its east end and with candidate core path 132 at its west end. A part of path 132 is managed under Option 15 (Improving Access) of the Land Management Contract Menu Scheme, is promoted in 2 walking guides and links with the Devonway and A91 to the north, the B9140 to the south and, via paths 131 and 130, to Tillicoultry and Devonside to the west.</p> <p>E3 – no designated Sites of Special Scientific Interest are affected. Only a small section of the path is close to the river and it is not considered that it becoming a core</p>	Proposed core path.

		<p>runs adjacent to the River Devon where there otter holts have been dug into the bank.</p> <p>D1 – was not, as far as I know, identified in initial consultations and is not a right of way.</p> <p>D2 – is probably capable of supporting most abilities.</p> <p>D3 – is severely affected by land management issues in that it runs through a working farm stading where cattle are fed beside the track, so raising biosecurity and health and safety issues.</p> <p>D4 – is affected by curtilage and privacy issues. It goes through a farm stading where cattle are fed, so raising biosecurity and health and safety issues.</p> <p>D5 – has maintenance issues. Is privately maintained. Doubt the Council would want to take on the maintenance.</p> <p>D6 – is only likely to be used by 2 families who live on it for access to and from work and school.</p> <p>D7 – does not give access to places of interest.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – links (at one end) with the Devonway.</p>	<p>path will adversely impact on biodiversity. Access takers should follow the Scottish Outdoor Access Code and care for the environment. The plan will be subject to a Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>D1 - the path was identified in the first round of consultations and is an established path with parts having been promoted in 2 walking publications.</p> <p>D2 – agree path could support all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – few land management issues in respect of fields.</p> <p>D4 – agree that there may be curtilage/privacy issues at the stading.</p> <p>D5 – the path has a reasonable surface and no steps or railings and is not considered to have significant maintenance issues. The Council has powers, but not a duty, to maintain core paths.</p> <p>D6 – the path is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – the path does give access to a short section of the River Devon.</p> <p>D8 – agree that the path does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – the path does link with other paths, notably the Devonway and proposed core path 132.</p> <p>D10 – the path is capable of supporting multi-use.</p>	
--	--	--	--	--

				Path meets all relevant essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria (D1, D2, D3, D5, D9 and D10) and so fulfils the criteria to become a core path.	
134			None.		Proposed core path.
135	Paths For All	103	This path is routed along the busy A91. Questions whether it should it be included given the close proximity of path 134 which is off road.	Path 135 is a roadside footway and provides a means by which residents of Tillicoultry and Dollar can access path 134. It also provides linkages between 134 and proposed core paths to the north and as such is considered to be an important link.	Proposed core path.
136			None.		Proposed core path.
137	B Poett	99	<p>This potential path is an integral part of the infrastructure of Harviestoun Home Farm. It is used on a daily basis to move livestock and feed stuffs to cattle sheds at the main farm steading. It is rarely used by walkers and leads only to a dangerous bend on the A91.</p> <p>E1 – not easily accessible from where people live nor does it link 2 communities. E2 – does not join 2 public places. E3 – may adversely impact upon biodiversity.</p> <p>D1 – is not a right of way or an established path. It is irregularly used by 1 or 2 people per week.</p>	<p>E1 – the path is only 1.5k west of Dollar and 1.5k east of Tillicoultry at its south end, so making it easily accessible for some pedestrians, cyclists etc. Links with other proposed core paths may mean that some users would use the path to link 2 communities, notably Tillicoultry and Dollar – 2 of the largest settlements in Clackmannanshire.</p> <p>E2 - path goes from the A91 (a public place) to an established path and proposed core path 138, which goes west to the A91 near Tillicoultry.</p> <p>E3 - no designated Sites of Special Scientific Interest are affected and it is not</p>	Proposed core path.

		<p>D2 – is not capable of supporting all abilities. It is a rough farm track, is unsuitable for any form of wheelchair use because of the surface of the track and potential conflicts with livestock. It is unsuitable for children on bicycles as the surface is too rough for them. It is not really suitable for casual walkers unless wearing strong shoes or boots. It has important health and safety issues for Harviestoun Home farm if classified as a core path. It is not suitable for horses as there may be a conflict with stallions in adjacent field. As a result no horses are ever seen using this path.</p> <p>D3 – will impact severely on the local land management during local land management operations for a working stock farm. This road is an integral part of the infrastructure of the farm, is used on a regular basis to move cross and pedigree Charolais between pastures, movement for veterinary treatment to supervise calving etc. The stock is a pedigree herd, of high value and involves the regular movement of large numbers of bulls on the proposed path. In addition a stud of Shetland ponies is kept on the farm, so there are up to 5 stallions in fields adjoining the proposed path at any time.</p>	<p>considered that it becoming a core path will adversely impact on biodiversity. Access takers should follow the Scottish Outdoor Access Code and care for the environment. The plan will be subject to a Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations.</p> <p>D2 – path is a farm track and free of steps. Gradient may restrict all abilities use.</p> <p>D3 – the path is not considered to have land management issues. It passes through woodlands and is separated from fields by stock proof fencing.</p> <p>D4 – the path is unaffected by curtilage/privacy issues, with the northernmost part of the route being 100m from Harviestoun Home Farm.</p> <p>D5 – is considered to be free from significant maintenance issues. Is a farm track and does not have any steps, railings etc. The Council has powers, but not a duty, to maintain core paths.</p> <p>D6 – agree that the path is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – agree that the path is unlikely to give access to places of interest.</p> <p>D8 – agree that the path does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – path does link with 138 to north and</p>	
--	--	---	--	--

		<p>These pose a severe health and safety hazard for any horse riding activity taking place on the path for both sexes of horses. Ponies, stallions, mares, colts and fillies are regularly “run” on the road in uncontrolled groups, from field to field posing a potential health and safety conflict. At present, walkers using the proposed path are controlled very amenablely when these activities are taking place by simple common agreement and the suggestion of alternative routes or requesting a pause (sometimes a long one) while the activity is taking place. There have been conflicts involving serious health and safety issues in the past involving groups of people and cyclists. On 2 recent occasions it was by good luck that serious injury was averted. It is used on a daily basis checking stock and moving feedstuffs. Deer control with rifles takes place along the entire length of this path.</p> <p>D4 – this path has curtilage and privacy issues – the path cuts through part of Harviestoun Home Farm steading.</p> <p>D5 – is privately maintained and is purely a rough track.</p> <p>D6 – is never likely to be used in travel to and from school or work.</p>	<p>135 (which is the footway of the A91) to the south.</p> <p>D10 – the path is a farm track with and so is considered to be suitable for multi-use. Adjacent fields are separated from the path by fencing, so minimising conflicts between users and livestock.</p> <p>Path meets all relevant essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5, D9 and D10) and so fulfils the criteria to become a core path.</p>	
--	--	---	--	--

			<p>D7 – does not give access to places of interest.</p> <p>D8 – gives no access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – links to path135 by way of a dangerous crossing of the A91.</p> <p>D10 – does not meet by virtue of the fact that there are conflicts for equestrian users with stallions and for walkers and cyclists with ponies and cattle.</p> <p>Is not really a core path. It runs parallel with the Devonway and because it is unsuitable for so many categories of user, should not be considered to be a core path. The Devonway meets the majority of criteria.</p>		
138	B Poett	99	<p>This path uses the principal arterial route from the A91 west of Dollar, back to the A91 east of Tillicoultry. It runs parallel with the Devonway path and is used for the movement of livestock, harvested crops and as an access road for people who live on the farm. There is considerable potential for conflict between walkers and vehicular traffic and more dangerously, livestock.</p> <p>E1 – does not directly link communities, although it is relatively easily accessed.</p> <p>E2 – it joins 2 public places.</p> <p>E3 – it is unlikely to impact on biodiversity.</p>	<p>E1 – the path is easily accessible from where people live, being only 500m from the edge of Dollar at its east end and 200m from the edge of Tillicoultry at its west end.</p> <p>E2 – links to/from the A91 road.</p> <p>E3 – agree it is unlikely to impact on biodiversity.</p> <p>D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations and is an established.</p> <p>D2 – does not have any steps but gradient may cause difficulties for all abilities use in places.</p> <p>D3 – accept that there are issues when stock is being transferred between the farm and fields.</p>	<p>Proposed core path at east and west ends. Middle section removed in recognition of land management concerns –</p>

		<p>D1 – does not meet. It was identified by the McCraw/Lawson survey as a permissive path.</p> <p>D2 – is not capable of supporting all abilities. It is a rough farm track and is unsuitable for any form of wheelchair due to the surface and potential conflicts with livestock. It is unsuitable for children on bicycles as the surface is too rough for them and is not really suitable for casual walkers unless wearing strong shoes or boots.</p> <p>D3 – will impact severely on local land management operations for a working stock farm. The road is an integral part of the farm infrastructure. It is used on a regular basis to move both cross and pedigree Charolais cattle between pastures, movement for veterinary treatment to supervise calving etc. The stock is a pedigree herd, of high value and involves the regular movement of large numbers of bulls on the proposed path. In addition a stud of Shetland ponies is kept on the farm, so there are up to 5 stallions in fields adjoining the proposed path at any time. These pose a severe health and safety hazard for any horse riding activity taking place on the path for both sexes of horses. Ponies,</p>	<p>D4 – the path passes by the south east corner of Harviestoun Home Farm and past a number of cottages, most of which are set back from the path, and separated from it by fences/hedges. Much of the route is unaffected by curtilage/privacy issues.</p> <p>D5 - is considered to be free from significant maintenance issues. Is a farm track and does not have any steps, railings etc. The Council has powers, but not a duty, to maintain core paths.</p> <p>D6 – is unlikely to be used for travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – path does not give access to place of interest.</p> <p>D8 – path does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – does link with other paths. Links with path 135 which follows the footway of the A91, with candidate core paths 137 and 139, and with candidate core path 140 which is a right of way.</p> <p>D10 – surface is capable of supporting multi-use. Path is separated from adjacent fields by stock proof fencing.</p> <p>Path meets all relevant essential criteria and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D5, D9 and D10) and so fulfils the criteria to become a core path.</p>	<p>amendment to be made.</p>
--	--	--	--	------------------------------

		<p>stallions, mares, colts and fillies are regularly “run” on the road in uncontrolled groups, from field to field posing a potential health and safety conflict. At present, walkers using the proposed path are controlled very amenable when these activities are taking place by simple common agreement and the suggestion of alternative routes or requesting a pause (sometimes a long one) while the activity is taking place.</p> <p>There have been conflicts involving serious health and safety issues in the past involving groups of people and cyclists. On 2 recent occasions it was by good luck that serious injury was averted. On one occasion a cyclist, and on another a group of walkers, got tangled up in a group of cattle. It is used on a daily basis checking stock and moving feedstuffs. Deer control with rifles takes place along the length of this path. It has important health and safety issues for the efficient working of the farm if classified as a core path.</p> <p>D4 – has curtilage and privacy issues. It cuts through Harviestoun Home Farm and impinges on privacy of 8 private houses.</p> <p>D5 – it is maintained privately at present</p>		
--	--	--	--	--

		<p>and it is doubtful if the local authority would wish to take on maintenance liability.</p> <p>D6 – is only used by those who live on the Farm Road for travel to or from school or work. No other potential user would use it for this purpose.</p> <p>D7 – gives no access to places of interest.</p> <p>D8 – gives no access to facilities.</p> <p>D10 – does not meet because there are conflicts for equestrian users with stallions, and for walkers and cyclists with ponies and cattle.</p> <p>This path is not really a core path. It runs parallel to the Devonway and, because it is unsuitable for so many categories of user, should not be considered to be a core path. The Devonway meets the majority of the criteria.</p> <p>Planning consent has been granted to move the farm road in question and so the route indicated on the plan would not be relevant anyway. It is our experience that usage of this path has been falling in recent years. More and more people are not using the Harviestoun Home Farm road as the Devonway is easier to walk on, there are no conflicts with livestock and there are no cars in conflict.</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	
	D Bradley	64	Add. Long established path. Meets E1, E2, E3 and D9.	Comment noted.	
139	GE Jenkinson	100	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D3, D4, D5, D7 and D9. Well established and popular route for walkers which is customary to make circular by linking with the Belmont. Provides views over Dollar and into Fife, which the lower (Belmont) path does not and which adds to the enjoyment of the route. Considers that privacy is not compromised (at least, no more so than in any other part of Dollar where houses face onto a road with an adjacent path) because the cottages and the farmhouse are spaced back from the track, with the farmhouse also being elevated above the track. Path is fenced on both sides to the west of Dollarbank Farm, minimising contact with livestock. Educational initiatives have meant that dog owners are well aware of their responsibilities in rural situations. If excluded from the Plan, a considerable length of enjoyable countryside on either	D4 – consider that the path is affected by curtilage/privacy issues. D7 – the path does not give access to places of interest such as country parks, nature reserves, castles and towers. Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D5, D9 and D10).	Proposed core path – amendment to be made at west end to take account of land management concerns on path 138. Diversions (to address privacy issues) were considered in central part of the

			<p>side of the farm would no longer be available to walkers.</p> <p>May wish to consider a diversion for part of the route, but this may incur financial implications for either party.</p>		<p>route, but were not acceptable to land manager.</p>
	B Poett	99	<p>Dollarbank Farm is a working sheep farm and has privacy, health and safety and biosecurity issues. The western half of the path is through stocked fields with serious health and safety issues.</p> <p>E1 – is easily accessible at the eastern end but not the western end.</p> <p>E2 – does not join 2 public places.</p> <p>E3 – is unlikely to adversely impact on biodiversity.</p> <p>D1 – is not an established right of way. Am unsure if it was identified in the initial consultation. It is an established farm access road rather than an established path.</p> <p>D2 – is capable of supporting most abilities.</p> <p>D3 – is severely affected by land management issues. Dollarbank farm is a working hill sheep farm. Stock, including cattle, are regularly moved around the farm and there is no way of isolating people walking on the farm road from them. There are health and safety issues involved in encouraging people to use</p>	<p>E1 - path is easily accessible at both ends. The east end is on the edge of Dollar, whilst the west end is just over 1k from the edge of Dollar.</p> <p>E2 – path is considered to join 2 public places. The east end is a public road and the west end is an established path.</p> <p>E3 – agree path is unlikely to adversely impact on biodiversity.</p> <p>D1 – path was identified in the first round of consultations.</p> <p>D2 – gradient and surface are likely to limit all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – is considered to be unaffected by land management issues, except where the path passes through an enclosed field at its eastern end.</p> <p>D4 – consider that the path is affected by curtilage/privacy issues. Path passes to the south of, but near to, the steading.</p> <p>D5 - is considered to be free from significant maintenance issues. Is a farm track and does not have any steps, railings etc. The Council has powers, but not a duty, to maintain core paths.</p>	

		<p>active farmyards, particularly for children. As a sheep farm, working dogs are used on the farm and need to be off leads to do their work when requested. They can be frightening if they are not known. People walking through a working farmyard or along tracks being used for moving stock are always in danger if they inadvertently frighten the stock. Farm employees are trained for this work, but this is a serious health and safety issue.</p> <p>D4 – is severely affected by curtilage and privacy issues. It goes through the middle of a working farm steading, where the farm house is an integral part of the steading enclosure.</p> <p>D5 – has maintenance issues. It is a private road and privately maintained. I doubt if the Council would wish to take on maintenance.</p> <p>D6 – is only likely to be used by the 3 families who live on it for access to and from work and school.</p> <p>D7 – does not give access to places of interest.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – only gives links at 1 end to the back road in Dollar, at the west end to a potential path.</p> <p>D10 – does not meet by virtue of the fact</p>	<p>D6 – agree that the path is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – agree that the path does not give access to places of interest such as country parks, nature reserves, castles and towers..</p> <p>D8 – agree that the path does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – path links with a minor road at the east end and with a candidate core at the west end.</p> <p>D10 – is considered to be suitable for multi-use because much of the route is a surfaced farm track.</p> <p>Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D5, D9 and D10).</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

			that there are conflicts for equestrian users with stallions, walkers and cyclists with ponies and cattle.	
	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.
	R Cullens	76	Remove. Does not meet D2, D3, D4, D6, D7 and D8.	
	E Howman	65	Route affected by dogs which have bitten walkers.	Comment noted
	D Bradley	64	Add. Long established path. Meets E1, E2, E3 and D9.	Comment noted
	C McLaren	62	Remove. Does not meet D2, D3, D4, D6, D7 and D8.	D2 – gradient and surface are likely to limit all abilities. D3 – is considered to be unaffected by land management issues, except where the path passes through an enclosed field at its eastern end. D4 – consider that the path is affected by curtilage/privacy issues. D6 – agree that the path is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work. D7 – agree that the path does not give access to places of interest. D8 – agree that the path does not give access to facilities. Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D5, D9 and D10).

	D Gordon	59	Remove. Does not meet D2, D3, D4, D6, D7 and D8.	<p>D2 – gradient and surface are likely to limit all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – is considered to be unaffected by land management issues, except where the path passes through an enclosed field at its eastern end.</p> <p>D4 – consider that the path is affected by curtilage/privacy issues.</p> <p>D6 – agree that the path is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – agree that the path does not give access to places of interest.</p> <p>D8 – agree that the path does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D5, D9 and D10).</p>	
	N & M Campbell	34	Remove. Does not meet D2, D3, D4, D6 and D8.	<p>D2 – gradient and surface are likely to limit all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – is considered to be unaffected by land management issues, except where the path passes through an enclosed field at its eastern end.</p> <p>D4 – consider that the path is affected by curtilage/privacy issues.</p> <p>D6 – agree that the path is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D8 – agree that the path does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>Consider that the path does meet all</p>	

				relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D5, D9 and D10).
A McIntosh	33	Remove. Does not meet criteria D2, D3, D4, D6 and D8.		D2 – gradient and surface are likely to limit all abilities. D3 – is considered to be unaffected by land management issues, except where the path passes through an enclosed field at its eastern end. D4 – consider that the path is affected by curtilage/privacy issues. D6 – agree that the path is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work. D8 – agree that the path does not give access to facilities. Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D5, D9 and D10).
A Cullens	29	Remove. There is a lower path. Its inclusion would affect farming and privacy. Does not meet D2, D3, D4, D6, D7 and D8.		D2 – gradient and surface are likely to limit all abilities. D3 – is considered to be unaffected by land management issues, except where the path passes through an enclosed field at its eastern end. D4 – consider that the path is affected by curtilage/privacy issues. D6 – agree that the path is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work. D7 – agree that the path does not give access to places of interest.

				D8 – agree that the path does not give access to facilities. Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D5, D9 and D10).	
I Cullens	24	Remove. Does not meet D2, D3, D4, D6, D7 and D8. Not everyone is responsible. Path would affect privacy.		D2 – gradient and surface are likely to limit all abilities. D3 – is considered to be unaffected by land management issues, except where the path passes through an enclosed field at its eastern end. D4 – consider that the path is affected by curtilage/privacy issues. D6 – agree that the path is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work. D7 – agree that the path does not give access to places of interest. D8 – agree that the path does not give access to facilities. Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D5, D9 and D10).	
AD & LL Herdman	15	Locked gates prevent access.		Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
Sue Hilder NFUS	11	Disappointed land management issues criterion only given desirable status. Understand that there should be a balance between the needs of access takers and land managers and feel that		Criteria were discussed with and agreed by the Access Forum. Consider that the criteria used do give a balance between the needs of access takers and land managers. A right of way does exist to the south –	

			<p>this principle is not upheld. Possible alternative route on right of way.</p>	<p>candidate core path 140. Whilst this does provide an alternative, the 2 combined provide opportunities for a circular walk, which would not be the case if one were to be removed.</p>	
JM & J Cullens	9	<p>Remove.</p> <p>Does not meet D2, D3, D4, D6 and D8. Formalisation and promotion would be detrimental to land management and pose health and safety risks for access takers.</p> <p>Much of the route passes through fields. The field at the Dollar end is used for cattle during and after calving, and for grazing and lambing sheep. Believe there is the potential for cows to react aggressively when protecting their calves, especially in the presence of dogs.</p> <p>Fenced section of track to the west of the steading is used for difficult calvings because it is the only flat area near the farm.</p> <p>Concerned that increased use of path will cause more disturbance to animals. Particularly concerned about disturbance from dogs and impact their fouling can have on livestock health.</p> <p>Proposed route goes through steading where there are health and safety issues because of the movement of farm</p>	<p>D2 – gradient and surface are likely to limit all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – is considered to be unaffected by land management issues, except where the path passes through an enclosed field at its eastern end. Accept that the field at the east is used for sheep and cattle. Access takers, including those with dogs, should act responsibly and follow the Scottish Outdoor Access Code.</p> <p>D4 – consider that the path is affected by curtilage/privacy issues. Path passes to the south of, but near to, both farmhouse and steading. Path is further away from farm cottages and separated by a fence/hedge.</p> <p>D6 – agree that the path is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – the path does not give access to places of interest such as country parks, nature reserves, castles and towers.</p> <p>D8 – agree that the path does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D5, D9 and D10).</p>		

			<p>vehicles and machinery – also understand that access rights do not apply to farmyards.</p> <p>Proposed route goes past front door of our home and farm cottages, raising concerns over privacy.</p> <p>Disappointed land management given desirable and not essential status.</p> <p>Suggest alternative route following right of way below farm.</p>		
140	B Poett	99	<p>This path is a right of way.</p> <p>Meets E1, E2 and E3.</p> <p>D1 – is a right of way.</p> <p>D2 – requires considerable upgrading to enable it to be supportive of all capabilities. It is used for access to houses at both western and eastern ends, but is mud in the middle.</p> <p>D3 – unaffected by land management issues, although the tenant farmer occasionally moves stock along it.</p> <p>D5 – there are severe maintenance issues.</p> <p>D6 – it is likely to be used in travel to and from school and work only by those who live on it.</p> <p>D7 – gives no access to places of interest.</p> <p>D8 – gives no access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – provides a link to path134 by</p>	<p>D2 – agree path is not suitable for all abilities.</p> <p>D5 – consider that the path is free from significant maintenance issues. It does not have any significant infrastructure such as steps, rails etc.</p> <p>D10 – path is considered to be suitable for multi-use.</p> <p>Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5, D9 and D10).</p>	Proposed core path.

			crossing the A91 and 100m access road to Dollar Sewage Works. Meets all essential criteria and five of the desirable criteria.		
	D Bradley	64	Add. Long established path. Meets E1, E2, E3 and D9.	Comments noted.	
141	AT Black	72	Add. Access to old railway line.	Comments noted.	Proposed core path.
	D Bradley	64	Add. Meets E2, E3, D3 and D9.	Comments noted.	
142			None.		Proposed core path.
143			None.	Cartographic error at south west end.	Proposed core path - amendment to be made.
144			None.	No path 144.	No path.
145	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.		Proposed core path.
146			None.		Proposed core path.
147			None.		Proposed core path.
148	D Balsillie	85	Strong support.	Comment noted.	Proposed core path.
149	Dollar Civic	98	Add extension to top of Law Hill/tree	E1 – is easily accessible being ca250m	Proposed

	Trust		<p>roundel. Meets E1, E4, D3, D4, D5 and D7. Local landmark and viewpoint.</p>	<p>from Dollar. E2 – is a circular walk with a link to an established and popular viewpoint. E3- is unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity. The plan will be subject to a Strategic Environmental Assessment. D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations and is an established path. D2 – is not capable of supporting all abilities. D3 – is unaffected by land management issues. D4 – is unaffected by curtilage/privacy issues. D5 – is free from significant maintenance issues. D6 – is not likely to be used in travel to/from school/work. D7 – gives access to a viewpoint. D8 – does not give access to facilities. D9 – links to a proposed path 148. D10 – is mostly suitable for multi-use. Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 6 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5, D7, D9 and D10).</p>	core path
	D Balsillie	85	Extend to top of Law Hill.	Comment noted.	
	I Whyte	53	Add extension to top of Law Hill. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D3, D4, D5 and	E1 – is easily accessible being ca250m from Dollar.	

			D7.	<p>E2 –is a circular walk with a link to an established and popular viewpoint.</p> <p>E3- is unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity. The plan will be subject to a Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations and is an established path.</p> <p>D2 – is not capable of supporting all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – is unaffected by land management issues.</p> <p>D4 – is unaffected by curtilage/privacy issues.</p> <p>D5 – is free from significant maintenance issues.</p> <p>D6 – is not likely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – gives access to a viewpoint.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – links to a proposed path 148.</p> <p>D10 – is mostly suitable for multi-use. Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 6 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5, D7, D9 and D10).</p>	
	C Davies	6	Suggest path extends to top of Law Hill.	Comment noted.	
150			None.		Proposed core path.
151	C Turnbull	95	Add.	Comment noted.	Proposed

					core path.
152			None.		Proposed core path.
153			None.		Proposed core path.
154			None.		Proposed core path.
155	B Poett	99	Incorrectly marked where Private Footpath Agreement linking the Devonway with the Dollar to Muckhart right of way.	Path accurately marked and consistent with Public Path Creation Agreement.	Proposed core path.
	C Turnbull	95	Add. Path contains bridge and kissing gate which restricts cyclists and horse riders.	Infrastructure to be looked at, at later date.	
156			None.		Proposed core path.
157			None.		Proposed core path.
158			None.		Proposed core path.
159			None.		Proposed core path.
160			None.	Cartographic errors to be amended.	Proposed core path - amendment to be made.
161			None.		Proposed

					core path.
162			None.		Proposed core path.
163			None.		Proposed core path.
164			None.	Path crosses into Perth and Kinross Council where it is not a proposed core path.	Not a proposed core path.
165	S Dean	104	Further discussion required on route through Nature Park.	Route is a part of the Reservoirs Trail and has been clarified with the landowner.	Proposed core path.
166	S Dean	104	Questions need.	Agree. Area changed as a result of change of land ownership.	Not a proposed core path.
167	Paths For All	103	Path appears to end in the middle of nowhere. Connectivity would be improved if it linked with other paths.	An urban footway. Not considered relevant to Plan.	Not a proposed core path – amendment to be made.
168			None.		Proposed core path.
169			None.		Proposed core path.
170	S Dean	104	Continue across A91, over bridge to connect with path 148.	Extension has been subject to landslip.	Proposed core path – no change.
171			None.		Proposed

					core path.
172			None.		Proposed core path.
173			None.		Proposed core path.
174			None.		Proposed core path.
Menstrie Community Woodland	C Crawford	105	Add middle and top paths in Community Woodland. Long established. Meets E1, E3, D3, D4, D7 and D9.	Middle path added meets all essential criteria and 5 (D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9) desirable criteria. Top path eroded and overgrown.	Proposed core path.
Right of way past The Leys and Cowden Farm near Muckhart	S Dean	104	Add long established right of way. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D3, D4 and D9.	E1 – path is easily accessible from Muckhart at its north end. E2 – path links 2 public roads. E3 –path is unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity. The plan will be subject to a Strategic Environmental Assessment. D1 – it was identified in the first round of consultations and is a right of way. D2 – path surface and gradient means it is unlikely to be able to support all abilities. D3 – route does pass through enclosed fields with livestock and so is affected by land management issues. D4 – the route does have curtilage/privacy issues at The Leys farm, but since a right of way exists there, this criterion is considered to be met. D5 – the path is considered to meet this	Proposed core path.

				<p>criterion but it is recognised that the route has a poor surface in places and a small bridge over the Hole Burn. The Burn can also be easily forded.</p> <p>D6 – the path is not likely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – does not give access to places of interest such as Country Parks, Nature Reserves, Castles or towers.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – does link to other paths – 155 at the south end and 157 and 170 at the north end.</p> <p>D10 – is surfaced in parts and would be suitable for multi-use in favourable conditions.</p> <p>Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D4, D5, D9 and D10).</p>	
	Dollar Civic Trust	98	<p>Add. Meets E1, E2 and E3. Much used, signed, but muddy at The Leys.</p>	<p>E1 – path is easily accessible from Muckhart at its north end.</p> <p>E2 – path links 2 public roads.</p> <p>E3 – path is unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity. The plan will be subject to a Strategic Environmental Assessment.</p> <p>D1 – it was identified in the first round of consultations and is a right of way.</p> <p>D2 – path surface and gradient means it is unlikely to be able to support all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – route does pass through enclosed</p>	

				<p>fields with livestock and so is affected by land management issues.</p> <p>D4 – the route does have curtilage/privacy issues at The Leys farm, but since a right of way exists there, this criterion is considered to be met.</p> <p>D5 – the path is considered to meet this criterion but it is recognised that the route has a poor surface in places and a small bridge over the Hole Burn. The Burn can also be easily forded.</p> <p>D6 – the path is not likely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – does not give access to places of interest such as Country Parks, Nature Reserves, Castles or towers.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – does link to other paths – 155 at the south end and 157 and 170 at the north end.</p> <p>D10 – is surfaced in parts and would be suitable for multi-use in favourable conditions.</p> <p>Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D4, D5, D9 and D10).</p>	
	A Lawson	97	Add right of way.	Comment noted.	
	Scotways	80	Add. Existing right of way.	Comment noted.	

	I Whyte	53	<p>Add. Existing right of way. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D5, D7 and D9.</p>	<p>E1 – path is easily accessible from Muckhart at its north end. E2 – path links 2 public roads. E3 – path is unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity. D1 – it was identified in the first round of consultations and is a right of way. D2 – path surface and gradient means it is unlikely to be able to support all abilities. D3 – route does pass through enclosed fields with livestock and so is affected by land management issues. D4 – the route does have curtilage/privacy issues at The Leys farm, but since a right of way exists there, this criterion is considered to be met. D5 – the path is considered to meet this criterion but it is recognised that the route has a poor surface in places and a small bridge over the Hole Burn. The Burn can also be easily forded. D6 – the path is not likely to be used in travel to/from school/work. D7 – does not give access to places of interest such as Country Parks, Nature Reserves, Castles or towers. D8 – does not give access to facilities. D9 – does link to other paths – 155 at the south end and 157 and 170 at the north end.</p>	
--	---------	----	---	--	--

				D10 – is surfaced in parts and would be suitable for multi-use in favourable conditions. Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D4, D5, D9 and D10).	
	C Kellock	38	Add.	Comment noted.	
	R Gooch	7	Add.	Comment noted.	
Birkhill	AG Munnoch	94	Path desirable.	Birkhill is isolated from candidate core paths and other existing paths by the River Black Devon to the north and west, and is only readily accessed from the B910 to the south. A couple of paths do go through the woodland but do not join up, nor could they be easily connected, which means that any use would be restricted to being out and back.	Not a proposed core path.
	J Coull	83	Would like to see aspirational path.	Birkhill is isolated from candidate core paths and other existing paths by the River Black Devon to the north and west, and is only readily accessed from the B910 to the south. A couple of paths do go through the woodland but do not join up, nor could they be easily connected, which means that any use would be restricted to being out and back.	
Former railway	M Woods	93	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D2,D7,D8 and D9.	Section east of Tullibody-Menstrie road to be included. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D3, D5,	Proposed core path

from Ditch Farm, Tullibody to path 44	D Campbell	63	Add section to east of Tullibody-Menstrie road. Meets E3.	D9 and D10. Section east of Tullibody-Menstrie road to be included. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D3, D5, D9 and D10.	- to east of Tullibody-Menstrie road.
Paths from Greenhead Farm, Alva to path 51	L Patton	87	Add. Long established route for horse riders which provides links to other paths. Meets E1, E2, E3, D6,D7,D8 and D9.	Meets E1 and E3, but does not meet E2 because Greenhead Farm is not a public place. Only meets 3 of the desirable criteria – D1, D9 and D10.	Not a proposed core path.
Path from Greenhead Road, Alva to South Industrial Estate	D Balsillie	85	Add. Aspirational route to south of Industrial Estate.	Housing development between Greenhead Road and Industrial Estate has been approved. Roadside footways through the new development will link the 2 places, but, in keeping with other roadside footways in urban areas, will not be a core path.	Not a proposed core path.
Path between former mills to south of A91, Alva	A Marshall	25	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D2, D5, D6 and D9.	Housing development has been approved for land between former mills and also site of mills. Roadside footways through the new development will provide an alternative path and will, in keeping with other roadside footways in urban areas, not be a core path.	Not a proposed core path.
Path from Alva sewage works to tip	D Balsillie	85	Add. Aspirational.	Unclear which tip is being referred to. There is a tip to the south of the River Devon but no easy means of linking it with Alva sewage works.	Not a proposed core path.
Link between paths 57 and 61	D Balsillie	85	Add. From Lady's Well to Tillicoultry Glen path.	Looked at link between Scotland Place and Golf View. Meets all essential criteria and 7 desirable criteria (D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D9 and D10).	Proposed core path.

	D Bradley	64	Add. From Scotland Place to Golf View. Meets E1, E2, E3, D7 and D9	Meets all essential criteria and 7 desirable criteria (D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D9 and D10).	
River Black Devon mouth to Craigrie Farm	S Madden	82	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D2, D3, D4, D5 and D7.	Meets essential criterion (E1) and 3 desirable criteria (D5, D9 and D10).	Not a proposed core path.
	I Whyte	53	Add. Existing right of way. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D3, D4, D5 and D7.	Meets essential criterion (E1) and 3 desirable criteria (D5, D9 and D10).	
River Black Devon mouth to bridge at 895915	R Gooch	7	Add. Reinstate path on east side of river. Meets E1, E2, E3, D5 and D9	Overgrown at north end where no discernible path. Meets essential criterion (E1) and 3 desirable criteria (D3, D4 and D9).	Not a proposed core path.
River Black Devon mouth to Kennet Pans	S Madden	82	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D3,D4,D5,D7 and D9.	Overgrown and no discernible path. Meets no essential criteria and 2 desirable criteria (D4 and D9).	Not a proposed core path.
	J Carolan	5	Add. Good scenic walk.	Overgrown and no discernible path. Meets no essential criteria and 2 desirable criteria (D4 and D9).	
Kennet Pans to minor road	A Lawson	97	Add. Clackmannanshire's Forth Coast is an attraction.	Meets all essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria (D1, D2, D3, D5, D9 and D10).	Proposed core path.
	S Madden	82	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D6, D7 and D9	Meets all essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria (D1, D2, D3, D5, D9 and D10).	
	I Whyte	53	Add. Existing right of way.	Meets all essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria (D1, D2, D3, D5, D9 and D10).	

			Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D3, D4, D5 and D7.		
Kennet Pans east to Fife boundary	S Madden	82	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, E4, D2, D3, D4, D5, D7 and D9.	Overgrown and no discernible path. Meets 2 essential criteria (E1 and E2) and 2 desirable criteria (D4 and D9).	Not a proposed core path.
Link between 129 and 130	A Lawson	97	Add.	Meets all essential criteria, but only 4 desirable criteria (D3, D4, D5 and D9).	Not a proposed core path.
Link between paths 131 and 129	A Lawson	97	Add.	Comment noted.	Proposed core path.
	Scotways	80	Add. Existing right of way.	Comment noted.	
	D Black	74	Add. Signed route. Meets E1,E2, E3, D3, D4, D7 and D9.	E1 - is easily accessible from Tillicoultry and Devonside. E2 - joins 2 rights of way. E3 – is unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity. D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations and is a right of way. D2 – steps mean it is not capable of supporting all abilities. D3 – is unaffected by land management issues. D4 – is unaffected by privacy/curtilage issues. D5 – steps, bridge etc give significant maintenance issues. D6 – is unlikely to be used in travel to/from	

				<p>school/work.</p> <p>D7 – may be used to give access to places of interest eg Gartmorn Dam Country Park.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – does link to other paths (129 and 131).</p> <p>D10 – is unlikely to be used for multi-use.</p> <p>Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D7 and D9).</p>	
R Gibb	37	<p>Add.</p> <p>Well used path.</p> <p>Meets E1, E2, D3, D4, D7 and D9.</p>	<p>E1- is easily accessible from Tillicoultry and Devonside.</p> <p>E2 - joins 2 rights of way.</p> <p>E3 – is unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity.</p> <p>D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations and is a right of way.</p> <p>D2 – steps mean it is not capable of supporting all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – is unaffected by land management issues.</p> <p>D4 – is unaffected by privacy/curtilage issues.</p> <p>D5 – steps, bridge etc give significant maintenance issues.</p> <p>D6 – is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – may be used to give access to places of interest eg Gartmorn Dam Country Park.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – does link to other paths (129 and 131).</p>		

				D10 – is unlikely to be used for multi-use. Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria and 5 of the desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D7 and D9).	
Rackmill to Vicars Bridge on south side of river	GE Jenkinson	100	Add. Long established path. Popular with walkers and anglers.	Comment noted.	Proposed core path.
	Dollar Civic Trust	98	Add. Meets E1, E2 and E3. Needs improvement.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2, E3, and E4) and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9).	
	D Toon	79	Add. Existing informal walk. Meets E1, E2, E3, E4, D2, D3, D4, D5, D7, D8 and D9. Links with path 169. Would create a circular walk from Dollar.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2, E3, and E4) and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9).	
	M Stephenson	77	Add. Long established fishing path. Meets E1, E2, D7, D8 and D9.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2, E3, and E4) and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9).	
	L & M Addy	73	Add. Meets E1, E3, D3, D4 and D9.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2, E3, and E4) and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9).	
	AT Black	72	Would create a good circular from Dollar.	Comment noted.	
	RL Lindsay	71	Add. Long established track for walkers and fishers. Meets E1, E2, E3, D3, D4, D5, D7 and D9.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2, E3, and E4) and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9).	
	C Smith	70	Add.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2, E3, and	

			Long established and popular path. Meets E1, E2, E3, D2, D3, D4, D5, D7 and D9.	E4) and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9).	
	RK Adlington	69	Add. Long established and popular path. Meets E1, E2, E3, D2, D3, D4, D5, D7 and D9.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2, E3, and E4) and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9).	
	A Hetherington	67	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D7 and D9. Is used as fisherman's path, is an attractive riverside walk, links with other paths and provides access to a SSSI.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2, E3, and E4) and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9).	
	J Farn	66	Add. Long established fishing path. Meets E1, E2, D7, D8 and D9.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2, E3, and E4) and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9).	
	A Hope	52	Add. Established path. Meets E1, E2, E3, D7 and D9.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2, E3, and E4) and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9).	
	J Carolan	5	Add. Interesting walk through SSSI along river.	Comment noted.	
Rackmill to path 133 following north side of river	Dollar Civic Trust	98	Add. Meets E1, D7 and D9. Links to Devonway. Needs improvement.	Meets all essential criteria, but only 2 desirable criteria (D1 and D9).	Not a proposed core path.
Rackmill to path 133 at Haugh	AD & LL Herdman	15	Used for access before conversion of Water Mill.	E1 – is easily accessible from Dollar. E2 – links the B910 with a proposed core path promoted in 2 walking guides.	Not a proposed core path.

Farm				<p>E3 – is unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity.</p> <p>D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations.</p> <p>D2 – not capable of supporting all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – is affected by land management issues.</p> <p>D4 – is affected by curtilage/privacy issues.</p> <p>D5 – has significant maintenance issues.</p> <p>D6 – unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – does not give access to Country Parks, Nature Reserves, castles or towers.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – does link with other paths (133).</p> <p>D10 – not suitable for multi-use.</p> <p>Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria but only 2 of the desirable criteria (D1 and D9).</p>	
Rackmill to Sheardale	J Carolan	5	Add. Long established walk along river.	<p>E1 – is easily accessible from Dollar.</p> <p>E2 – links the B910 with a proposed core path promoted in 2 walking guides.</p> <p>E3 – is unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity.</p> <p>D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations.</p> <p>D2 – not capable of supporting all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – is affected by land management issues.</p> <p>D4 – is affected by curtilage/privacy issues.</p>	Not a proposed core path.

				<p>D5 – has significant maintenance issues. D6 – unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work. D7 – does not give access to Country Parks, Nature Reserves, castles or towers. D8 – does not give access to facilities. D9 – does link with other paths (132). D10 – not suitable for multi-use. Consider that the path does meet all relevant essential criteria but only 2 of the desirable criteria (D1 and D9).</p>	
Tullibody Road, Menstrie to A91 through factory	J Dawson	78	<p>Add. Long established and popular path. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D3, D4, D5, D6 and D9.</p>	Meets all essential criteria and 3 desirable criteria (D1, D5 and D9).	Not a proposed core path.
Tullibody Road, Menstrie to A91 along former railway	J Dawson	78	<p>Add. Meets E1, E3, D1 and D3.</p>	Meets all essential criteria and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9).	Proposed core path.
Path adjacent to Tullibody Road, Menstrie	J Dawson	78	<p>Add. Meets E1, E3, D1 and D3.</p>	Meets all essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5, D9 and D10).	Proposed core path.
Link	D Murphy	75	Needs upgraded to allow pupils to	Meets all essential criteria and 7 desirable	Proposed

between paths 47 and 17 at Lornshill			walk/cycle to school, with associated health benefits.	criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5, D6, D9 and D10).	core path.
Harviestoun Inn to path 63	D Black	74	Add. Long established path. Meets E1, E2, E3, D5, D6, D7, D8 and D9	Meets all essential criteria but only 3 desirable criteria (D5, D9 and D10).	Not a proposed core path.
Path 63 to Bards Way, Tillicoultry via playing fields	D Black	74	Add. Greenspace linking 2 housing estates. Meets E1, E2, E3, D3, D4, D5, D6, D7, D8 and D9	Meets all essential criteria and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D4, D5, D9 and D10).	Proposed core path.
Link between paths 167 and 45 from Muirside Avenue to Broomieknowe	K Stewardson	68	Established path, used by great numbers of people. Meets E1, E2, E3, D2, D3, D4, D5, D6, D7 and D9.	Meets all essential criteria but only 3 desirable criteria (D3, D4 and D9).	Not a proposed core path.
Path from bus shelter on Harviestoun Road to old railway	A Hetherington	67	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, D2, D3, D4, D5 and D9.	Proposed core path 141 links the bus shelter on Harviestoun Road to the old railway line in Dollar.	Proposed core path.

line in Dollar					
Upper Dollar Glen	D Bradley	64	Add reopened path to west end of Windy Edge Gap. Meets E1, E2, E3, D5, D7 and D10.	Meets 2 or 3 of essential criteria but only 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) of the desirable criteria.	Not a proposed core path.
Seamab	D Bradley	64	Add paths adjacent to Seamab. Meets E1, E2, E3, E4, D3, D5, D7, D9 and D10.	E1- only ca1k from Muckhart but uphill. E2 – may join with established paths depending on which paths are being considered. E3 – are unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity. E4 – no cross border paths planned in the immediate vicinity of Seamab. D1 – were identified in the first round of consultations. D2 – gradient prevents suitability for all abilities. D3 - unaffected by land management issues. D4 – unaffected by curtilage/privacy issues. D5 – gradient may cause significant maintenance issues. D6 – not likely to be used in travel to/from school/work. D7 – is not considered to give access to places of interest such as country parks, nature reserves, castles etc. D8 – does not give access to facilities.	Not a proposed core path.

				<p>D9 – may link with other paths, notably proposed core path 165.</p> <p>D10 – is not considered to be suitable for multi-use, primarily because of gradient and lack of surfacing.</p> <p>Meets all relevant essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) but only 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.</p>	
Link between paths 45 and 44 at Muirpark	D Campbell	63	Add. Meets E1, E3, D6 and D9	Urban area with roads and footway.	Not a proposed core path.
Link between 44 and 40 adjacent to River Devon	D Campbell	63	Add. Meets E3.	<p>E1 – is easily accessible from Tullibody and Alva.</p> <p>E2 – joins 2 established paths.</p> <p>E3 – is unlikely to adversely affect biodiversity.</p> <p>D1 – was not identified in the first round of consultations and is not a right of way or established path.</p> <p>D2 – no path, so is not capable of supporting all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – is on the edge of a field.</p> <p>D4 – is unaffected by curtilage/privacy issues.</p> <p>D5 – has significant maintenance issues.</p> <p>D6 – is unlikely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p>	Not a proposed core path.

				<p>D7 – does not give access to places of interest such as country parks, nature reserves, castles and towers.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – does link with other paths.</p> <p>D10 – is not suitable for multi-use.</p> <p>Meets all relevant essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) but only 2 (D4 and D9) desirable criteria.</p>	
Path between 10-12 Robert Kinmond Avenue, Tullibody	W Pezzani	57	Add. Path in very bad condition and a hazard.	<p>The Council has powers, but not a duty, to maintain core paths.</p> <p>Meets all essential criteria and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D9 and D10).</p>	Proposed core path.
	C Pezzani	56	Add. Path in very bad condition. Would like path properly maintained.	<p>The Council has powers, but not a duty, to maintain core paths.</p> <p>Meets all essential criteria and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D9 and D10).</p>	
	C & A Mercer	36	Add.	<p>Meets all essential criteria and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D9 and D10).</p>	
	M Lockerbie	8	Path is well used but in need of maintenance, especially of steps.	<p>The Council has powers, but not a duty, to maintain core paths.</p> <p>Meets all essential criteria and 5 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D9 and D10).</p>	
Path in Menstrie Glen	Earl of Mar & Kellie	10	Add.	<p>E1 – easily accessible from where people live.</p> <p>E2 – joins 2 public places.</p> <p>E3 – is unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity.</p> <p>E4 – may apply depending on route.</p>	Not a proposed core path.

				<p>D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations.</p> <p>D2 – gradient means path would be unlikely to be used by all abilities.</p> <p>D3 – is unlikely to be affected by land management issues.</p> <p>D4 – would be unaffected by curtilage/privacy issues.</p> <p>D5 – may have significant maintenance issues.</p> <p>D6 – is not likely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p> <p>D7 – does not give access to places of interest such as country parks, nature reserves, castles and towers.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – does link to other paths.</p> <p>D10 - unlikely to be able to support multi-use.</p> <p>Meets all relevant essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) but only 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.</p>	
Castle Campbell to Kings Seat	G Johnstone	55	Add. Tourist attraction.	<p>E1 – easily accessible from where people live.</p> <p>E2 – joins 2 public places.</p> <p>E3 – may impact upon biodiversity – SSSI in glen.</p> <p>D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations.</p> <p>D2 – gradient means path would be unlikely</p>	Not a proposed core path.

				<p>to be used by all abilities. D3 – is unlikely to be affected by land management issues. D4 – would be unaffected by curtilage/privacy issues. D5 – may have significant maintenance issues. D6 – is not likely to be used in travel to/from school/work. D7 – is unlikely to be used to access to places of interest such as country parks, nature reserves, castles and towers. D8 – does not give access to facilities. D9 – does link to other paths. D10 - unlikely to be able to support multi-use. Meets 2 essential criteria (E1, E2) and only 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.</p>	
Path above Castle Campbell	Earl of Mar & Kellie	10	Add.	<p>E1 – easily accessible from where people live. E2 – joins 2 public places. E3 – may impact upon biodiversity – SSSI in glen. D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations. D2 – gradient means path would be unlikely to be used by all abilities. D3 – is unlikely to be affected by land management issues. D4 – would be unaffected by</p>	Not a proposed core path.

				<p>curtilage/privacy issues. D5 – may have significant maintenance issues. D6 – is not likely to be used in travel to/from school/work. D7 – is unlikely to be used to access to places of interest such as country parks, nature reserves, castles and towers. D8 – does not give access to facilities. D9 – does link to other paths. D10 - unlikely to be able to support multi-use. Meets 2 essential criteria (E1, E2) and only 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.</p>	
Alva to Ben Cleuch	G Johnstone	55	<p>Add. Ben Cleuch is the highest point in lowland Scotland, is a focal point for tourism and should be a core path.</p>	<p>E1 – easily accessible from where people live. E2 – joins 2 public places. E3 – unlikely to impact upon biodiversity. D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations. D2 – gradient means path would be unlikely to be used by all abilities. D3 – is unlikely to be affected by land management issues. D4 – would be unaffected by curtilage/privacy issues. D5 – may have significant maintenance issues. D6 – is not likely to be used in travel to/from school/work.</p>	Not a proposed core path.

				<p>D7 – doe not give access to places of interest such as country parks, nature reserves, castles and towers.</p> <p>D8 – does not give access to facilities.</p> <p>D9 – does link to other paths.</p> <p>D10 - unlikely to be able to support multi-use.</p> <p>Meets 3 essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) but only 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.</p>	
Dollarbeg to Vicars Bridge via former railway	G Johnstone	55	Add. Would link new development with popular spot and other paths.	<p>Meets E1, E2, and E3, but not E4 (Perth and Kinross Council are not proposing it as a core path) and 4 (D3, D4, D9 and D10) desirable criteria.</p> <p>Note: Perth and Kinross Council have proposed a route from Braelangwell to the River Devon via Boghall which is to be included in the plan.</p>	<p>Not a proposed core path.</p> <p>Proposed core path – from former railway to River Devon via Boghall.</p>
Dollarbeg to Dollar	J Mitchell	54	Add.	<p>Roadside footway from Dollar to Rackmill considered. Footway stops to south of Rackmill.</p> <p>Meets all essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5, D8 and D9).</p>	Proposed core path – from Dollar to Rackmill.
	E Jack	14	Add.	Roadside footway from Dollar to Rackmill considered. Footway stops to south of	

				Rackmill. Meets all essential criteria and 6 desirable criteria (D1, D3, D4, D5, D8 and D9).	
Hillfoot Farm to Middleton, Dollar	Dollar Civic Trust	98	Add. Meets E1, E3 and D9. Links with Pitgober paths and Devonway.	Meets all essential criteria but only 4 (D3, D5, D9 and D10) desirable criteria.	Not a proposed core path.
Circular path around upper Dollar Glen to include Jacob's Ladder	I Whyte	53	Add. Popular path. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D3, D4,D7 and D9.	E1 – only ca1k from Dollar, but uphill. E2 – would link with established path around Dollar Glen (proposed core path 143). E3 – path is within a Site of Special Scientific Interest and may impact on biodiversity. D1 – was identified in the first round of consultations. D2 – path has a large flight of steps and is not capable of supporting all abilities. D3 - is considered to be unaffected by land management issues. D4 – is free of curtilage/privacy issues. D5 – has steps, railings and a bridge; and is considered to have significant maintenance issues. D6 – is not likely to be used to/from school/work. D7 – is close to a castle but is unlikely to be a means of accessing the castle. D8 – does not give access to facilities.	Not a proposed core path.

				D9 – does link to the path around Dollar Glen (proposed core path 143) and paths up Bank Hill and Kings Seat. D10 – large number of steps prevent it from being suitable for multi-use. Meets 2 or 3 of essential criteria but only 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) of the desirable criteria.	
Path adjacent to A91 between Muckhart and Cowden	C Dickson	51	Add. Would help meet the “access for all” agenda. Meets E1, E2, E3, D2, D3, D4, D5, D7, D9 and D10.	No footway for much of route.	Not a proposed core path.
Path through Kennet Beech Wood	JC Turnbull	47	Add.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) and 6 (D1, D3, D4, D5, D9 and D10) desirable criteria.	Proposed core path.
	D Michie	46	Add.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) and 6 (D1, D3, D4, D5, D9 and D10) desirable criteria.	
	F McKay	28	Add.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) and 6 (D1, D3, D4, D5, D9 and D10) desirable criteria.	
Path from Kennet to Kilbagie Mill	A Lawson	97	Add. Useful route when new roadworks are finished.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) and 4 (D1, D5, D9 and D10) desirable criteria.	Not a proposed core path.
	JC Turnbull	47	Add.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) and 4 (D1, D5, D9 and D10) desirable	

				criteria.	
	D Michie	46	Add.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) but only 4 (D1, D5, D9 and D10) desirable criteria.	
	F McKay	28	Add.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) but only 4 (D1, D5, D9 and D10) desirable criteria.	
Link between paths 62 and 64 via Kirk Burn	D Michie	46	Add. Include track and drove road between proposed core paths.	Meets E1 and E2. E3 – may impact on biodiversity as passes through a SSSI. Only meets 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.	Not a proposed core path.
Paths in Ochils	Paths For All	103	There are no proposed core paths to very popular hills such as The Law, Ben Cleuch and Kings Seat. The Ochils are a key attraction for locals and visitors providing health, enjoyment and economic benefits. Concerns about liability are unfounded. Designation as core paths would help pull down future funding. Paths in the Ochils are likely to become more significant with the formation of the Ochils Landscape Partnership. Also, questions whether the routes meet the criteria set.	In general, paths in Ochils meet all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) but only 4 (D1, D3, D4, and D9) desirable criteria.	Not a proposed core path.
	G Collier	45	Add. Meets E3, D1, D3, D4, D5 and D9.	In general, paths in Ochils meet all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) but only 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.	
Path	Dollar Civic	98	Add.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3)	Not a

through Glen of Sorrow linking 143 and 62	Trust		Meets E1, E4, D7 and D9. Well trodden path of historical value. Steep at Maddy Moss.	but only 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.	proposed core path.
Path parallel with 168 on open hill, where path goes into plantation	Dollar Civic Trust	98	Add. Meets E1, E2, E4, D4, D7 and D9. Alternative route to Glen Quey.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) but only 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.	Not a proposed core path.
Path around Hillfoots Hill with links to open hill and 145	A Lawson	97	Add. Clearly trodden path linking discontinuity between tracks.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) but only 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.	Not a proposed core path.
Link from 117 to East Birkhill via dilapidated bridge over River Black Devon	J Maddox	35	Add. Path would give access to Birkhill Plantation.	Bridge is dilapidated and not in use.	Not a proposed core path.
Link from 117 to Gartlove,	R Gooch	7	Add. Reinstate old path. Meets E2, D1, D7 and D9.	Route ill-defined with no obvious path in parts.	Not a proposed core path.

Starton and Red Yetts					
Link from SE Fishcross to path 79	S Bamford	30	Add.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) but only 4 (D1, D2, D5 and D9) desirable criteria.	Not a proposed core path.
Path from Longcarse along dismantled railway line to south	D Michie	19	Add.	Meets 2 essential criteria (E1 and E3) and 3 (D4, D5 and D9) desirable criteria	Not a proposed core path.
Link from path 13 following woodland strip to Parkhead	R Ramage	18	Add. Existing path in woodland strip. Meets E1, E2, E3, D1, D2, D4, D5 and D9.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) and only 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.	Not a proposed core path.
Link from 56 to 57 through Woodland Park	PA McKellar	13	Add. Long established path.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) and 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.	Not a proposed core path.
	R McKellar	12	Add. Long established path.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) and 4 (D1, D3, D4 and D9) desirable criteria.	
Circular path through Fearn and	Earl of Mar & Kellie	10	Hope a circular walk evolves.	Meets all essential criteria (E1, E2 and E3) and only 2 (D3 and D4) desirable criteria. Will be considered in future reviews of Core Paths Plans if population of Forest Mill	Not a proposed core path.

Gartgreenie Woods, Forest Mill				expands.	
Path along banks of Forth from Cambus to Kennet Pans	R Gooch	7	Add. Long distance path. Meets E1, E2, E3, E4, D1, D2, D7 and D9	Proposed core path 23 runs between Alloa and Cambus. To east of Alloa, see River Black Devon mouth to Kennet Pans above.	-
Former railway from Vicars Bridge to Gairney Viaduct	J Carolan	5	Add. Historic and interesting walk.	In Perth and Kinross Council area.	Not a proposed core path.
Dollarbeg to Blairingone	D Stubbs	1	Add. Meets E1, E2, E3, E4, D1, D2, D3, D4, D5 and D9.	Meets 4 essential criteria (E1, E2, E3, E4) and 6 desirable criteria (D1, D2, D3, D5, D9 and D10).	Proposed core path.
Path 123 to B9140 via Gartenkeir	A Lawson	97	Add.	Meets all essential criteria and 7 (D1, D3, D4, D5, D7, D9 and D10) desirable criteria.	Proposed core path.
Brucefields / Gartfinnan area	A Lawson	97	Add path in this area.	No defined route.	Not a proposed core path.

Key to codes

Essential criteria:

- E1 Easily accessible from where people live or link two communities
- E2 Join two public places
- E3 Unlikely to adversely impact upon biodiversity
- E4 If cross-border path (with another local authority) there is consistency with neighbouring authority

Desirable criteria:

- D1 Identified in the first round of consultations and/or is a right of way/established path
- D2 Capable of supporting all abilities
- D3 Unaffected by land management issues
- D4 Unaffected by curtilage/privacy issues
- D5 Free from significant maintenance issues
- D6 Likely to be used in travel to/from school/work
- D7 Gives access to places of interest
- D8 Gives access to facilities
- D9 Provides links to other paths
- D10 Capable of supporting multi-use